1 ADAM PAUL LAXALT Nevada Attorney General 2 HEATHER B. ŽANA Deputy Attorney General 3 Nevada Bar No. 8734 Bureau of Litigation 4 Public Safety Division 100 N. Carson Street 5 Carson City, NV 89701-4717 Tel: (775) 684-1261 6 E-mail: hzana@ag.nv.gov 7 Attorneys for Defendants Robert Bannister, James "Greg" Cox, 8 Eric Fancher, Sheryl Foster, Edward Gibson, Brandt Halling, 9 Tanya Hill, Wesley Mattice, Veronica Meza, John Peery, 10 Gregory Smith, Rashonda Smith, Sue Steward, Stephen Torsky, 11 Ruben Vidaurri, Margaret Whittington, and Brian Williams. Sr. 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 15 16 17 KEVIN ALMY, 18 Plaintiff,

Case No. 3:13-cv-00645-MMD-VPC

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Plaintiff, appearing in proper person, and Defendants, Robert Bannister, James "Greg" Cox, Eric Fancher, Sheryl Foster, Edward Gibson, Brandt Halling, Tanya Hill, Wesley Mattice, Veronica Meza, John Peery, Gregory Smith, Rashonda Smith, Sue Steward, Stephen Torsky, Ruben Vidaurri, Margaret Whittington, and Brian Williams, Sr., by and through counsel, Adam Paul Laxalt, Attorney General of the State of Nevada, and Heather B. Zana, Deputy Attorney General, hereby stipulate and

Office of the Attorney General 100 N. Carson St. Carson City, NV 89701-4717

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

vs.

R. BANNISTER, et al.,

Defendants.

KH

1	agree, pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 41 (a)(2), that the above-captioned action should be dismissed with
2	prejudice by order of this Court, with each party to bear his or her own costs.
3	SO STIPULATED:
4	DATED this $\frac{\cancel{\cancel{b}} + \cancel{\cancel{b}}}{\cancel{\cancel{b}}}$ day of October, 2016 DATED this $\frac{\cancel{\cancel{b}} + \cancel{\cancel{b}}}{\cancel{\cancel{b}}}$ day of October, 2016
5	ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General
6	Du Loras Marcel
7	KEVIN ALMY HEATHER B. ZANA
8	Plaintiff Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendant
9	
10	
11	ORDER
12	This matter comes before the Court on the parties' Stipulation for Dismissal with Prejudice.
13	·
14	The Court has examined the Stipulation for Dismissal with Prejudice as agreed by the parties
15	hereinabove, good cause having been shown,
16	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this cause of action is dismissed with prejudice with each
17	party bearing its own attorneys' fees and costs.
18	DATED this 17th day of October, 2016.
19	1-lu-
20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

H